MULTIVALUED SYNTHESIS FOR ONE CLASS OF CONTROLLABLE SYSTEMS[†]

V. YA. DZHAFAROV

Baku

(Received 28 March 1991)

The problem of minimizing the terminal functional on the trajectories of a controllable system which is described by a differential inclusion is considered. A relation is derived which is a function of the optimal result. Multivalued synthesis in the form of a differential inclusion is defined, all the solutions of which are optimal trajectories. A method of approximating the optimal trajectories is indicated.

CONSIDER a controllable system whose behaviour is described by the following differential inclusion:

$$x \in F(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in T = [0, \theta]$$
(1)

We will assume that

1. F(t, x) is a convex compactum for all $(t, x) \in T \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

2. The multivalued transformation $(t, x) \rightarrow F((t, x))$ is continuous with respect to (t, x) and satisfies the local Lipschitz condition with respect to x, i.e.

 $\begin{aligned} &\alpha(F(\tau, y), F(t, x)) \to 0 \text{ as } (\tau, y) \to (t, x) \\ &\alpha(F(t, x_1), F(t, x_2)) \leq \lambda(L) \parallel x_1 - x_2 \parallel; \ (t_i, x_i) \in L, \ i = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$

where $L \subset T \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is any bounded region, $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm, $\lambda(L)$ is a constant and $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Hausdorff spacing.

3. A constant c > 0 exists such that

$$\max\{\|f\|: f \in F(t, x)\} \le c(1 + \|x\|)$$

Henceforth we will assume that conditions 1-3 are satisfied.

We mean by the solution of system (1) the absolutely continuous function x(t) which satisfies Eq. (1) almost everywhere.

Suppose that in the solutions of system (1) it is required to minimize the functional

$$\sigma = \sigma(x(\theta)) \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^1$ is a function which satisfies the local Lipschitz condition. We will denote by $X_1(t_*, x_*)$ the set of all solutions of Eq. (1) with initial conditions $x(t_*) = x_*$. Suppose $f \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We will assume that

$$c(t_{*}, x_{*}) = \min\{\sigma(x(\theta)): x(\cdot) \in X_{1}(t_{*}, x_{*})\}$$

$$\partial_{*}c(t, x) + (f) = \liminf_{\delta \to 0^{+}} [c(t + \delta, x + \delta f) - c(t, x)]\delta^{-1}$$

$$F_{0}(t, x) = \{f_{*} \in F(t, x): \min_{f \in F(t, x)} \partial_{*}c(t, x) + (f) = \partial_{*}c(t, x) + (f_{*})\}$$

$$S = \{(t, x) \in T \times \mathbb{R}^{n}: F_{0}(t, x) \text{ is not convex}$$

The function c(t, x) is called the function of the optimal result (FOR) for problem (1), (2). The trajectory $x_0(\cdot) \in X_1(t_*, x_*)$ is said to be optimal for the initial position (t_*, x_*) if $c(t_*, x_*) = \sigma[x_0(\theta)]$. It can be shown that the function c(t, x) satisfies the local Lipschitz condition with respect to (t, x), i.e.

$$\|c(t_1, x_1) - c(t_2, x_2)\| \le \lambda(L) [\|t_1 - t_2\| + \|x_1 - x_2\|], \quad (t_i, x_i) \in L, \quad i = 1, 2$$

† Prikl. Mat. Mekh. Vol. 56, No. 4, pp. 680-682, 1992.

where $L \subset T \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is any bounded region and $\lambda(L)$ is a constant. Hence, the number $\partial_* c(t, x) | (f)$ is always finite.

We will denote by Lip the set of all functions ω : $T \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^1$ which satisfy the local Lipschitz condition. Using well-known results [1–5] it can be shown that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. In order that the function $c(\cdot) \in Lip$ should be a function of the optimal result for problem (1), (2) it is necessary and sufficient that the following conditions be satisfied:

$$\min_{f \in F(t, x)} \partial_{*} c(t, x) + (f) = 0, \quad c(\theta, x) = \sigma(x), \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, \theta) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
(3)

Relation (3) replaces Bellman's equation for problem (1), (2).

We will now define the multivalued synthesis for problem (1), (2) and consider the problems of its existence.

Definition 1. Suppose $(t, x) \rightarrow G(t, x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact-valued multivalued transformation semi-continuous from above. We will call it a multivalued synthesis for the control problem (1), (2) if the set of solutions of the equation

$$\mathbf{x} \in G(t, \mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{x}(t_{*}) = \mathbf{x}_{*}, \ \forall (t_{*}, \mathbf{x}_{*}) \in T \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

is non-empty and all the solutions of Eq. (4) are optimal trajectories for the initial position (t_*, x_*) . We will assume that the following condition is satisfied.

Condition 1. For the FOR see (t, x) the function $(t, x) \rightarrow \partial_{*}c(t, x)|(f)$ is semi-continuous from below at each point $(t, x) \in [0, \theta) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for any $f \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Condition 1 is satisfied, for example, if the function σ is continuously differentiable, while the right-hand side of Eq. (1) has the form $F(t, x) = co\{f(t, x, u): u \in P\}$, where co is a convex hull, P is compactum, and the function f is continuous over the set of variables and is continuously differentiable with respect to x.

When Condition 1 is satisfied the multivalued transformation $(t, x) \rightarrow F_0(t, x)$ is semi-continuous from above. In fact, suppose $(t_k, x_k) \rightarrow (t_*, x_*), f_k \rightarrow f_*, f_k \in F_0(t_k, x_k)$. We will show that $f_* \in F_0(t_*, x_*)$. By the definition of F_0 and by virtue of conditions (3) we have

$$\partial_{\ast} c(t_k, x_k) \mid (f_k) = 0 \tag{5}$$

For each (t, x) the function $f \rightarrow \partial_x c(t, x) | (f)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition. Hence, taking Condition 1 into account as well as relations (3) and (5) we have $\partial_x c(t_*, x_*) | (f_*) = 0$. Consequently $f_* \in F_0(t_*, x_*)$.

For the FOR c(t, x) we will denote by $\partial c(t_*, x_*)$ the set of Clarke subgradients of the function c(t, x) at the point (t_*, x_*) .

Theorem 1. Suppose Condition 1 is satisfied and also

$$\min_{\substack{x \in \partial c(t,x)}} \max_{f \in F_0(t,x)} \langle z, (1,f) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall (t,x) \in [0,\theta) \times \mathbb{R}^n$$
(6)

Then the transformation $(t, x) \rightarrow \operatorname{co} F_0(t, x)$ is a multivalued synthesis for problem (1), (2).

Proof. When Condition 1 is satisfied the following equation holds:

$$\partial_{x} c(t, x) + (f) = \min\{\langle z, (1, f) \rangle: z \in \partial c(t, x)\}$$
(7)

Suppose $(t_{\star}, x_{\star}) \in [0, \theta) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the differential inclusion

$$x \in \operatorname{co} F_{\phi}(t, x), \ x(t_{\bullet}) = x_{\bullet} \tag{8}$$

The right-hand side of the first relation in (8) is convex, compact-valued and semi-continuous from above with respect to (t, x). Hence, using well-known theorems of existence [4], we conclude that Eq. (8) has a solution which satisfies the condition $x(t_*) = x_*$. For any solution x(t) of Eq. (8) the function $\varphi(t) = c(t, x(t))$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition. Hence, by Rademacher's theorem it is differentiable almost everywhere. For almost all $t \in [t_*, \theta]$, taking into account the theorem on the minimax, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= \partial_{\bullet} c(t, x(t)) + (x'(t)) < \max_{\substack{f \in coF_0(t, x(t)) \\ f \in coF_0(t, x(t)) \\ z \in \partial_c(t, x(t)) \\ z \in \partial_c(t, x(t)) \\ f \in F_0(t, x(t)) \\ f \in F_0(t, x(t)) \\ \end{cases} \\ = \max_{\substack{f \in coF_0(t, x(t)) \\ f \in F_0(t, x(t)) \\ f \in F_0(t, x(t)) \\ f \in F_0(t, x(t)) \\ \end{cases} \\ \leq \max_{\substack{f \in coF_0(t, x(t)) \\ f \in F_0(t, x(t)) \\ \end{cases}$$

Consequently, all the trajectories of Eq. (8) are optimal.

Theorem 1 is applicable, generally speaking, in cases when the surface of non-convexity S of the set $F_0(t, x)$ is a concentrating surface for the system $x^{\circ} \in \operatorname{co} F_0(t, x)$ (in Isaacs's terminology [6]). When this surface is a scattering surface, inequality (6), generally speaking, will not be satisfied.

For example, for the system

$$x_1 = x_2, \ x_2 = u, \ |u| \le 1, \ t \in [0,1], \ \sigma(x_1, x_2) = -(x_1)^2$$
(9)

We will have $c(t, x) = -[K \pm \frac{1}{2}(t-1)^2]^2$, $K = K(t, x) = x_1 + (1-t)x_2$, where the plus sign is taken when $K \ge 0$, and the minus sign is taken when K < 0. Therefore,

$$F_{0}(t, x) = \left\| \begin{array}{c} x_{2} \\ z \\ z \end{array} \right\|, \quad z = \begin{cases} 1, & K > 0 \\ -1, & K < 0 \\ -1, 1, & K = 0 \end{cases}$$

We can verify that for this example inequality (6) is violated on the surface K = 0. We will introduce the following condition.

Condition 2. For each $(t_*, x_*) \in T \times \mathbb{R}^n$, among the solutions of Eq. (8) a solution x(t) will exist such that the set $\{t \in [t_*, \theta]: (t, x(t)) \in S\}$ has a zero Lebesque measure.

It can be verified that the solution x(t) of Eq. (6), which satisfies Condition 2, is also a solution of the equation $x^{\circ} \in F_0(t, x)$ and all the solutions of the equation $x^{\circ} \in F(t, x)$ are optimal for all initial positions (t_*, x_*) . The following theorem holds.

Theorem 2. Suppose Conditions 1 and 2 are satisfied. Then the transformation $(t, x) \rightarrow F_0(t, x)$ is a multivalued synthesis for problem (1), (2).

Theorem 2 is applicable in cases when S is a scattering surface. Condition 2 is satisfied for example (9). Hence, the transformation $(t, x) \rightarrow F_0(t, x)$ constructed is a multivalued synthesis.

It can be seen from Theorems 1 and 2 that for the FOR c(t, x) for finding optimal trajectories, the problem of approximating the solutions of the equation $x^{\circ} \in \operatorname{co} F_0(t, x)$ is of interest. We will indicate one method of making this approximation. Suppose $(t_*, x_*) \in [0, \theta) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Delta = \{t_* = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \ldots < \tau_N = \theta\}$ is a splitting of the section $[t_*, \theta], \xi = \{\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N-1}\}$, where ξ_i is an *n*-dimensional vector for all *i*. We will put

$$\operatorname{diam} \Delta = \operatorname{max}_{i}(\tau_{i+1} - \tau_{i}), \quad |\xi| = \operatorname{max}_{i} ||\xi_{i}||$$

Consider the step-by-step differential inclusion

$$x'(t) \in F_0(\tau_i, x(\tau_i) + \xi_i), \ \tau_i \le t \le \tau_{i+1}, \ x(t_*) = x_*$$
(10)

We will denote by $X_2(t_{\star}, x_{\star})$ the set of absolutely continuous function $x(\cdot): [t_{\star}, \theta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$x(t) = \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(t) \quad (t \in [t_*, \theta])$$

where $x_k(t)$ is an absolutely continuous solution of Eq. (10) for the sequences Δ_k , ξ^k , such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \operatorname{diam} \Delta_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} |\xi^k| = 0$$

The following assertion holds: for all $(t_*, x_*) \in [0, \theta) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ the bundle $X_2(t_*, x_*)$ is identical with the bundle of all the solutions of Eq. (8). Hence, all the solutions of Eq. (8) are approximated by the solutions of the step-by-step differential inclusion (10).

REFERENCES

- 1. SUBBOTIN A. I., Generalization of the fundamental equation of the theory of differential games. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 254, 293-297, 1980.
- 2. SUBBOTIN A. I. and SUBBOTINA N. N., The properties of the potential of a differential game. *Prikl. Mat. Mekh.* 46, 204-211, 1982.
- 3. BERKOVITZ L., Optimal feedback controls. SIAM J. Control Optimization 27, 991-1006, 1989.
- 4. BLAGODATSKIKH V. I. and FILIPPOV A. F., Differential inclusions and optimal control. Trudy Mat. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR 169, 194-252, 1985.
- 5. GUSEINOV Kh. G. and USHAKOV V. N., Strongly and weakly invariant sets with respect to a differential inclusion, their derivatives and application to control problems. *Diff. Urav.* 26, 1888–1894, 1990.
- 6. ISAACS R., Differential Games. Mir, Moscow, 1967.

Translated by R.C.G.